UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:

MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTRY CLUB, INC., Respondent

and Case: 21-CA-083930

LABORERS' PACIFIC SOUTHWEST REGIONAL ORGANIZING COALITION, LABORERS' INTERNATIONAL UNION OF NORTH AMERICA, AFL-CIO, Charging Party

RESPONDENT MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTRY CLUB, INC.'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE

In accordance with Rule 102.46 of the Rules and Regulations of the National Labor Relations Board, Respondent Mountain View Country Club, Inc. ("Respondent") hereby takes exception to the decision of the Administrative Law Judge Mary Miller Cracraft ("ALJ") dated January 24, 2013 ("Decision" or "ALJD") as follows:

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

- 1. The ALJ's factual and legal conclusion that Respondent violated section 8(a)(5) of the act (29 U.S.C. §158(a)(5) by "fail[ing] to provide the information [requested by the Union] in a reasonably prompt manner." (ALJD 4:6-17).
- 2. The ALJ's failure to consider the totality of the circumstances in deciding that Respondent did not provide "the information [requested by the Union] in a reasonably prompt manner." (ALJD 4:6-17).
- 3. The ALJ's refusal to consider evidence that the Union itself had delayed repeatedly in providing information in deciding that Respondent provide "the information [requested by the Union] in a reasonably prompt manner." (ALJD 4:6-17).

1

- 4. The ALJ's incorrect decision that the Union had not waived its request for the information at issue by informing counsel for Respondent that the Union was considering abandoning the bargaining unit at issue. (ALJD 3:11-18, 4:6-17).
- 5. Because the NLRB does not have a proper quorum and cannot lawfully act, it must refrain from exercising jurisdiction over this matter or from entering any Order in this matter. See, e.g., Noel Canning v. National Labor Relations Board, ____ F.3d ____ (D.C. Cir. Jan. 25, 2013) (Slip Op. at 3, 30, 44); see also New Process Steel v. NLRB, 560 U.S. ____, 130 S. Ct. 2635 (2010).

Dated: February 21, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel H. Handman, Esq. Hirschfeld Kraemer LLP 233 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600 Santa Monica, CA 90403 Attorneys for Respondent Mountain View Country Club, Inc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTRY CLUB, INC.

and

Case: 21-CA-083930

LABORERS' PACIFIC SOUTHWEST REGIONAL ORGANIZING COALITION, LABORERS' INTERNATIONAL UNION OF NORTH AMERICA, AFL-CIO

PROOF OF SERVICE OF RESPONDENT MOUNTAIN VIEW COUNTRY CLUB, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF EXCEPTIONS TO THE DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

I, the undersigned, am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600, Santa Monica, California 90401. On February 21, 2013, I served the Memorandum of Points and Authorities ISO Exceptions to the Decision of the Administrative Law Judge by placing a copy of it in a sealed envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Santa Monica, California addressed as follows:

Laborers' Pacific Southwest Regional Organizing Coalition 4401 Santa Anita Ave., Suite 214 El Monte, CA 91731-1611

Olivia Garcia, Regional Director National Labor Relations Board Region 21 888 South Figueroa Street, Ninth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-5449 Carols R. Perez, Attorney at Law Reich, Adell & Cvitan 3550 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2000 Los Angeles, CA 90010-3860

National Labor Relations Board Office of the Executive Secretary 1099 14th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20570

I also caused a copy of the Memorandum of Points and Authorities ISO Exceptions to the Decision of the Administrative Law Judge to be filed by hand on February 21, 2013 at:

National Labor Relations Board Office of the Executive Secretary 1099 14th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20570

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited in the U.S. Postal Service on that same

day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 21, 2013, at Santa Monica, California.

Patricia Dragotta